

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,319	12/28/2000	David A. Hazlebeck	11156.79	1197
23862	7590 04/20/2006		EXAM	INER
NYDEGGER & ASSOCIATES 348 OLIVE STREET			TRAN, LEN	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	-
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		0.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,319	HAZLEBECK, DAVID A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Len Tran	1725
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. satutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on amendment on 1/31/06	
<u>'</u>	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	/ _	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practi	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 29-36</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• ,,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 29-36</u> is/are reject	ted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	- , ,	, ,
	•	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority		Application No.
<u> </u>	of the priority documents have been	
·	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Toodivod III tills Mattorial Glago
* See the attached detailed Office action	•	received.
	·	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/753,319 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11-12, 29-30, 32-33, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McBrayer et al (US 5,552,039).

McBrayer et al disclose a liner for a reactor vessel comprising a porous layer (24) and a non-porous layer positioned against the porous layer, with the porous layer between the non-porous layer and the wall vessel, a connector for establishing fluid communication with the porous layer, and a pump with the connector capable of supplying heat transfer fluid, wherein the fluid comes in contact with the porous layer, preventing the fluid in contact with the wall vessel (figure 1, col. 9, lines 1-51). A pressure sensor (46) along with a flow meter (42) to measure the pressure and flow. The porous layer is adjacent the vessel.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 10, 31, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

McBrayer et al (US '039), and further in view of Hervert et al (US '632).

McBrayer et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fails to teach a leak detection

sensor.

However, Hervert et al disclose a connector mean (6) for the purpose of detecting leakage

from the porous liner.

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a leakage detection system as taught by Hervert et al, in McBrayer et al in order to monitor the leakage.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/31/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the new amendment, a pump in fluid communication with said connector to "continuously pass" a heat transfer liquid through said porous layer to cool said non porous layer and "remove heat therefrom", should overcome the prior art, McBrayer et al. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The pump of McBrayer et al is capable of continuously passing the fluid to cool the non porous layer and inherently removes heat therefrom. Therefore, the new amendment does not overcome the McBrayer et al's apparatus.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1725

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner

Art Unit 1725